

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Communication dated January 29, 2008, Claims 1-3 have been cancelled. New claim 4 is supported by original Claim 3. New Claim 5 is supported by Examples 14 and 15 at specification page 22. New Claim 5 recites the elected specie. No new matter has been entered.

The rejection over Ghosh is traversed. Ghosh does not disclose the limited genus of new Claim 4, nor the specie of new Claim 5. That is, the reference does not contain the type of disclosure, with the requisite guidance, such that one of ordinary skill would be directed to the presently claimed compounds. Moreover, there is nothing in the reference that would suggest their antioxidant properties. Accordingly, the reference should be withdrawn.

Accordingly, and in view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that this case is now in condition for allowance, and early notification to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Richard L. Treanor
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 36,379

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)